# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

MAY 2 L 2004 E

1 FW /36/6

#### ,JN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Pontus Söderström	)
10/018, 254	) Group Art Unit: 3616
March 26, 2002	) Examiner: Eric D. Culbreth
AIR-BAG ARRANGEMENT	Customer No.  26694  patent trademark office
31577-176922	) )  May 24, 2004
	10/018, 254 March 26, 2002 AIR-BAG ARRANGEMENT

**Mail Stop Petition** 

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# PETITION FOR RETROACTIVE FOREIGN FILING LICENSE UNDER 35 U.S.C. §184 AND 37 C.F.R. §5.25

Sir:

Applicant requests that a retroactive foreign filing license be granted in connection with the subject application under 35 U.S.C. §184 and 37 C.F.R. §5.25.

The subject application was filed as U.S. national stage of PCT/SE00/01290, which in turn claims priority on UK Application No. 9914267.1. All the foreign counterparts of the subject application are:

- 1. Application No. 9914267.1 filed in the United Kingdom on June 18, 1999;
- Application No. PCT/SE00/01290 filed as PCT International Application on June 19, 2000;
- 3. Application No. 00944548.7 filed in the European Patent Office as the European national Stage of the PCT International Application;

4. Application No. 2001-504759 filed in Japan as the Japanese national stage of

the PCT International Application.

The subject application was initially filed in the United Kingdom through error

and without deceptive intent. The subject application did not disclose an invention within

the scope of 35 U.S.C. § 181 as being detrimental to the national security. A Verified

Statement Under 37 C.F.R. 5.25(a)(3) by Mr. Bo Lindström accompanies this petition,

which provides a detailed account as to the events leading to the error and the steps that

Applicant has taken to correct the error.

A check in the amount of \$130.00 for the petition is enclosed. Should any other

fee be required, please charge same to deposit account no. 22-0261 and notify Applicant's

attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 24, 2004

Robert Kinberg (Reg. No. 26,924)

Venable LLP P.O. Box 34385

Washington, D.C. 20043-9998

Tel.: (202)-344-4051 Telefax: (202)-344-8300

Doc# 526179

MAN 2 1 2004 E

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Pontus Söderström	
Serial No.	10/018, 254	Group Art Unit: 3616
Filing Date:	March 26, 2002	Examiner: Eric D. Culbreth
For:	AIR-BAG ARRANGEMENT	Customer No. 26694 PATEM TRADEMARK OFFICE
Atty. Docket No.	31577-176922	

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### VERIFIED STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. 65.25(8)(3)

Sir:

- I, Bo Lindström, respectfully submit that
- 1. I was the Manager of the Patent Department at Autoliv Development AB of Sweden (Autoliv, Assignee of the subject application) for the relevant period during which the inventive acts leading to the filing of the subject application occurred and the application was caused to be first filed in the United Kingdom.
- 2. The subject matter of the invention was not under secrecy order at the time it was initially filed in the United Kingdom, neither is it currently under a secrecy order.
  - 3. The initial filing abroad occurred through error and without deceptive intent: the inventive acts occurred in the United States;

the invention was recorded in an Invention Record Approval Document (IRAD) of Autoliv and recommended for filing in the United States (see page 1 of the IRAD);

the IRAD was reported to the Patent Department of Autoliv in the United States;
the Patent Department of Autoliv in the United States had difficulties to prosecute
the subject matter, and after a time, the subject matter was transferred to the Autoliv
Patent Department in Sweden for prosecution;

after normal consideration, the subject matter was sent to the patent counsel in the United Kingdom for preparing an application;

the application was inadvertently first filed in the United Kingdom and later as a PCT application designating Europe, United States, and Japan, where the regional and national phases were subsequently initiated.

- 4 Applicant's patent counsel discovered the error during the preparation of an inventor's Declaration in February 2004 when reviewing the IRAD for the first time.
- 5. Applicant has been diligent in curing the error including timely preparing and filing a petition for retroactive foreign filing license and notifying the USPTO of the discrepancy in the Response to Office Action filed on February 26, 2004.
- 6. All statements made of my own knowledge are true and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true.

It is acknowledged that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both (18 U.S.C. §1001), and may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Date: 22 May 200

Deft independ

Doc# \$25182